E-FILED-10/5/17 LINK#171 1 **JS-6** 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 RAYMOND HIAWATHA PORTER, Case No. CV15-07657 PSG(KSx) 11 12 Plaintiff, 13 VS. [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT ON VERDICT CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ROBERT MEZA 14 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Whereas the action came on for a Jury Trial, commencing on September 26, 19 2017, in Courtroom 6A of the United States District Court, before the Honorable 20 Phillip S. Gutierrez, United States District Judge; And whereas during the trial, 21 Defendant Jennie Kai Pit Wong and the Plaintiff's Bane Act claim were dismissed 22 on a Rule 50(a) motion by defendants; And whereas the jury made the following unanimous findings on Special Verdict: 23 "We, the jury in the above captioned matter, unanimously answer the 24 following questions submitted to us as follows: 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28

1	Question 1.
2	Did Officer Robert Meza use excessive force in handcuffing Plaintiff
3	Raymond Porter in violation of his constitutional rights?
4	YesNo
5	
6	Question 2.
7	Did Officer Robert Meza commit a battery upon Raymond Porter as defined
8	in the jury instructions?
9	Yes X No
10	If your answer to Questions 1 and/or 2 was "yes", then answer Question 3. If
11	you answered "no" to Questions 1 and 2, then please have the presiding jury sign
12	and date this special verdict form.
13	
14	DATED: September 30, 2017 /S/
15	Presiding Juror"
16	The section of the LEGIEDED VANIED CED 41-4 D1-1-4:ff21-1-1-1-1
	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's claims are all
17	dismissed with prejudice, that Plaintiff Raymond Porter shall take nothing, that
18	Defendants shall have judgment against Plaintiff on all claims, and that Defendants shall recover their costs incurred herein.
19	shan recover their costs metrica herein.
20	PATED: 40/5/47 PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ
21	DATED: 10/5/17
22	Honorable Phillip S. Gutierrez
23	United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ı	